## Statement of Representative Henry A. Waxman July 20, 2000

This hearing makes me think that the Attorney General should revive an old Johnny Carson routine.

She should take the letter Mr. Burton sent her this week about the campaign finance investigation, make a copy of it, and file it away in a hermetically sealed jar. That way, she will always have it as an irreplaceable and pristine memento of political absurdity.

There is something exquisite in Mr. Burton lecturing the Attorney General on how to run a competent investigation.

Three years ago the Chief Counsel of this Committee quit and told Mr. Burton that he had "been unable to implement the standards of professional conduct I have been accustomed to at the U.S. Attorney's office."

Two years ago, when the Chairman released the doctored Webb Hubbell transcripts, one Republican investigator was quoted saying, "I'm ashamed to be part of something that's so unprofessional."

In the days after the Hubbell transcript debacle, Newt Gingrich, no shrinking violet when it came to investigations into Democrats, insisted that Mr. Burton's chief investigator be fired and told Mr. Burton he should be "embarrassed."

In four years, the Chairman has run through four Chief Counsels...by my count we've had at least three different chief investigators ... at least three of his press secretaries have come and gone...and altogether, nearly 70 people have left the Committee staff.

That's a remarkable record. It explains why the congressional expert Norman Ornstein said, "the Burton investigation is going to be remembered as a case study in how not to do a congressional investigation and as a prime example of investigation as farce."

Moreover, the Attorney General should be especially attentive to any letter from the Chairman that purports to interpret words from tapes, as his most recent letter does.

Mr. Burton is convinced that Vice President Gore is saying on the tape, "We ought to, we ought to, we ought to show Mr. Riady the tapes, some of the ad tapes."

Maybe it does. Or maybe the reference is to "Dottie" or "Lottie" or even "John Gotti" -- or who knows what.

This episode has made me think back to October 1997, when the White House released videos of the infamous coffees. Mr. Burton was sure that the video tapes had been altered to conceal incriminating information. In fact, he was so sure then that they were altered that he told the country on *Face the Nation* that he was hiring lip readers to get to the bottom of things. He did investigate this, as did others, but no one was able to find any incriminating statements.

Then, in April 1998, Mr. Burton released the doctored Webb Hubbell transcripts. Some reporters initially accepted his interpretations as fact. But they weren't. The Chairman or his staff had systematically changed words and left out passages to make the transcripts seem incriminating.

In one excerpt, for example, the Chairman had Mr. Hubbell saying "The Riady is just not easy to do business with me while I'm here." In fact, Mr. Hubbell never mentioned Mr. Riady at all. He simply said, "the <u>reality</u> is it's just not easy to do business with me while I'm here."

But if you're dead set on wanting to hear Riady at every possible opportunity, it's easy to mistake "Riady" for "reality."

This and other unfortunate distortions in the doctored transcript brought mounds of ridicule on this Committee. In one memorable Time Magazine piece, which I will make part of the record, Calvin Trillin tried to capture how absurd this Committee's allegations can be.

All of this would be comical if it did no harm to people's reputations. But real harm is often done when the Chairman wildly attacks the integrity of others, particularly the Vice President and the Attorney General.

These groundless and offensive attacks don't reflect just excessive partisanship—they have moved far beyond that. They are reckless expressions of zealotry that take no account of the personal responsibility each of us have to be accurate or factual in our comments.

In the Attorney General's case, Mr. Burton is increasingly shrill despite the fact that FBI Director Freeh and former Campaign Task Force Director Chuck LaBella have told him he's factually wrong in questioning the Attorney General's integrity.

The videotape that the Chairman has analyzed is a good example of misguided efforts. How did Mr. Burton and his staff find this? They must be spending thousands of hours -- and countless taxpayer dollars -- combing every videotape and every document this Committee has ever received to find anything possible to embarrass the Vice President.

Now the Chairman is upset that the Vice President received so-called special treatment by the Task Force, and he points to the fact that the Vice President received a transcript of his deposition. That's one of the main reasons we are having this hearing.

Well, I've tried to find out whether this is true. And as usual in this Committee, it turns out it's

not true at all. The fact is, many other high-ranking officials -- including several Republican officials -- have been treated in exactly the same manner.

When Edwin Meese, the former Republican Attorney General, was investigated by an Independent Counsel, he was given a transcript of his deposition. I have a letter from former Independent Counsel James McKay attesting to this and want to include it in the record.

When George Shultz, the former Republican Secretary of State, was interviewed by the Iran/Contra Independent Counsel, he was given a copy of a taped record of his session. I have a letter from former Deputy Independent Counsel Craig Gillen attesting to this and want to include it in the record.

When the House Ethics Committee interviewed former Speaker Newt Gingrich as part of its investigation into his ethical lapses, the Committee provided him access to the transcripts. I have a letter from James Cole, special counsel to the Ethics Committee investigation, attesting to this and want to include it in the record.

Even this Committee has followed the very procedures that Chairman Burton is complaining about. When this Committee interviewed Charles Ruff, the former White House Counsel, earlier this year, Chairman Burton gave him a transcript of his interview. I have a letter from Mr. Ruff attesting to this and want to include it in the record.

I have more examples, but I think my point is clear: Vice President Gore didn't receive special treatment at all.

I think what really upsets some people is that the Vice President released his transcript publicly. By putting out the facts, he made it impossible for his attackers to try him by innuendo.

And attacks through innuendo have been the standard practice in this and too many investigations. The obvious plan–and I say obvious only in retrospect–was to have the news media in a frenzy for weeks speculating about what new incriminating evidence could be behind Mr. Conrad's recommendation.

But the Vice President frustrated that plan the moment he released his transcript.

And that has made some of his political opponents very angry and resulted in the ludicrous hearing we are having today.

###